

# T0-Theory: Redshift Mechanism

## Wavelength-Dependent Redshift without Distance Assumptions

Based on T0-Theory Framework  
Spectroscopic Tests using Cosmic Object Masses

July 25, 2025

### Abstract

The T0-model explains cosmological redshift through  $\xi$ -field energy loss during photon propagation, without requiring spatial expansion or distance measurements. This mechanism predicts wavelength-dependent redshift  $z \propto \lambda$  that can be tested with spectroscopic observations of cosmic objects. Using the universal constant  $\xi = \frac{4}{3} \times 10^{-4}$  and measured masses of astronomical objects, the theory provides model-independent tests distinguishable from standard cosmology.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Fundamental <math>\xi</math>-Field Energy Loss</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Basic Mechanism . . . . .	2
1.2	Energy-to-Wavelength Conversion . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>Redshift Formula Derivation</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Integration for Small $\xi$ -Effects . . . . .	2
2.2	Redshift Definition and Formula . . . . .	3
<b>3</b>	<b>Frequency-Based Formulation</b>	<b>3</b>
3.1	Frequency Energy Loss . . . . .	3
3.2	Frequency Redshift Formula . . . . .	3
<b>4</b>	<b>Observable Predictions Without Distance Assumptions</b>	<b>4</b>
4.1	Spectral Line Ratios . . . . .	4
4.2	Frequency-Dependent Effects . . . . .	4
<b>5</b>	<b>Mass-Based Energy Scale Calibration</b>	<b>5</b>
5.1	Using Known Cosmic Object Masses . . . . .	5
5.2	Mass-Energy Relation in $\xi$ -Field . . . . .	5
<b>6</b>	<b>Experimental Tests Using Spectroscopy</b>	<b>5</b>
6.1	Multi-Wavelength Observations . . . . .	5
6.2	Radio vs. Optical Redshift . . . . .	6
6.3	Expected Signal Strength . . . . .	6

<b>7</b>	<b>Advantages Over Standard Cosmology</b>	<b>6</b>
7.1	Model-Independent Approach . . . . .	6
7.2	Testable Predictions . . . . .	7
<b>8</b>	<b>Observational Strategy</b>	<b>7</b>
8.1	Target Selection . . . . .	7
8.2	Data Analysis Protocol . . . . .	7
8.3	Required Precision . . . . .	7
<b>9</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>8</b>
9.1	Summary of T0-Redshift Mechanism . . . . .	8
9.2	Experimental Accessibility . . . . .	8
9.3	Revolutionary Implications . . . . .	8

# 1 Fundamental $\xi$ -Field Energy Loss

## 1.1 Basic Mechanism

**Principle 1** ( $\xi$ -Field Photon Interaction). Photons lose energy through interaction with the universal  $\xi$ -field during propagation:

$$\frac{dE}{dx} = -\xi \cdot f\left(\frac{E}{E_\xi}\right) \cdot E \quad (1)$$

where  $\xi = \frac{4}{3} \times 10^{-4}$  is the universal geometric constant and  $E_\xi = \frac{1}{\xi} = 7500$  (natural units).

The coupling function  $f(E/E_\xi)$  is dimensionless and describes the energy-dependent interaction strength. For the linear coupling case:

$$f\left(\frac{E}{E_\xi}\right) = \frac{E}{E_\xi} \quad (2)$$

This yields the simplified energy loss equation:

$$\frac{dE}{dx} = -\frac{\xi E^2}{E_\xi} \quad (3)$$

## 1.2 Energy-to-Wavelength Conversion

Since  $E = \frac{hc}{\lambda}$  (or  $E = \frac{1}{\lambda}$  in natural units), we can express the energy loss in terms of wavelength. Substituting  $E = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ :

$$\frac{d(1/\lambda)}{dx} = -\frac{\xi}{E_\xi} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \quad (4)$$

Rearranging to find the wavelength evolution:

$$\frac{d\lambda}{dx} = \frac{\xi \lambda^2}{E_\xi} \quad (5)$$

# 2 Redshift Formula Derivation

## 2.1 Integration for Small $\xi$ -Effects

For the wavelength evolution equation:

$$\frac{d\lambda}{dx} = \frac{\xi \lambda^2}{E_\xi} \quad (6)$$

Separating variables and integrating:

$$\int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda} \frac{d\lambda'}{\lambda'^2} = \frac{\xi}{E_\xi} \int_0^x dx' \quad (7)$$

This yields:

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_0} - \frac{1}{\lambda} = \frac{\xi x}{E_\xi} \quad (8)$$

Solving for the observed wavelength:

$$\lambda = \frac{\lambda_0}{1 - \frac{\xi x \lambda_0}{E_\xi}} \quad (9)$$

## 2.2 Redshift Definition and Formula

### T0-Prediction

Redshift Definition:

$$z = \frac{\lambda_{\text{observed}} - \lambda_{\text{emitted}}}{\lambda_{\text{emitted}}} = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_0} - 1 \quad (10)$$

For small  $\xi$ -effects where  $\frac{\xi x \lambda_0}{E_\xi} \ll 1$ , we can expand:

$$z \approx \frac{\xi x \lambda_0}{E_\xi} = \frac{\xi x}{E_\xi} \cdot \lambda_0 \quad (11)$$

### Key Insight

**Key T0-Prediction: Wavelength-Dependent Redshift**

$$z(\lambda_0) = \frac{\xi x}{E_\xi} \cdot \lambda_0 \quad (12)$$

This is the fundamental prediction of T0-theory: **Redshift is proportional to the emitted wavelength!**

## 3 Frequency-Based Formulation

### 3.1 Frequency Energy Loss

Since  $E = h\nu$ , the energy loss equation becomes:

$$\frac{d(h\nu)}{dx} = -\frac{\xi(h\nu)^2}{E_\xi} \quad (13)$$

Simplifying:

$$\frac{d\nu}{dx} = -\frac{\xi h \nu^2}{E_\xi} \quad (14)$$

### 3.2 Frequency Redshift Formula

Integrating the frequency evolution:

$$\int_{\nu_0}^{\nu} \frac{d\nu'}{\nu'^2} = -\frac{\xi h}{E_\xi} \int_0^x dx' \quad (15)$$

This yields:

$$\frac{1}{\nu} - \frac{1}{\nu_0} = \frac{\xi h x}{E_\xi} \quad (16)$$

Therefore:

$$\nu = \frac{\nu_0}{1 + \frac{\xi h x \nu_0}{E_\xi}} \quad (17)$$

**T0-Prediction**

Frequency Redshift:

$$z = \frac{\nu_0}{\nu} - 1 \approx \frac{\xi h x \nu_0}{E_\xi} \quad (18)$$

**Key Insight**Since  $\nu = \frac{c}{\lambda}$ , we have  $h\nu = \frac{hc}{\lambda}$ , confirming:

$$z \propto \nu \propto \frac{1}{\lambda} \quad (19)$$

**Higher frequency photons show larger redshift!**

## 4 Observable Predictions Without Distance Assumptions

### 4.1 Spectral Line Ratios

Different atomic transitions should show different redshifts according to their wavelengths:

$$\frac{z(\lambda_1)}{z(\lambda_2)} = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \quad (20)$$

**Experimental Test****Hydrogen Line Test:**

- Lyman- $\alpha$  (121.6 nm) vs. H $\alpha$  (656.3 nm)
- Predicted ratio:  $\frac{z_{\text{Ly}\alpha}}{z_{\text{H}\alpha}} = \frac{121.6}{656.3} = 0.185$
- **Standard cosmology predicts: 1.000**

### 4.2 Frequency-Dependent Effects

For radio vs. optical observations of the same object:

$$\frac{z_{\text{radio}}}{z_{\text{optical}}} = \frac{\nu_{\text{radio}}}{\nu_{\text{optical}}} \quad (21)$$

**Experimental Test****21cm vs. H $\alpha$  Test:**

- 21cm hydrogen line:  $\nu = 1420$  MHz
- Optical H $\alpha$  line:  $\nu = 457$  THz
- Predicted ratio:  $\frac{z_{21\text{cm}}}{z_{\text{H}\alpha}} = \frac{1.42 \times 10^9}{4.57 \times 10^{14}} = 3.1 \times 10^{-6}$

## 5 Mass-Based Energy Scale Calibration

### 5.1 Using Known Cosmic Object Masses

Instead of assuming distances, we use measured masses of cosmic objects to calibrate the energy scale:

Table 1: Well-Determined Cosmic Masses

Object Type	Example	Mass
<i>Stellar Masses (Precise)</i>		
Sun	Sol	$1.989 \times 10^{30} \text{ kg}$
Sirius A	Alpha CMa A	$2.02 M_{\odot}$
Alpha Centauri A	$\alpha$ Cen A	$1.1 M_{\odot}$
<i>Galaxy Masses (From Dynamics)</i>		
Milky Way	Our Galaxy	$10^{12} M_{\odot}$
Andromeda	M31	$1.5 \times 10^{12} M_{\odot}$
Local Group	Total	$\approx 3 \times 10^{12} M_{\odot}$

### 5.2 Mass-Energy Relation in $\xi$ -Field

The characteristic energy scale is:

$$E_{\xi} = \xi^{-1} = \frac{3}{4 \times 10^{-4}} = 7500 \text{ (natural units)} \quad (22)$$

Converting to conventional units:

$$E_{\xi} = 7500 \times (\hbar c) \approx 1.5 \text{ GeV} \quad (23)$$

This energy scale is comparable to nuclear binding energies, suggesting the  $\xi$ -field couples to fundamental mass scales in cosmic structures.

## 6 Experimental Tests Using Spectroscopy

### 6.1 Multi-Wavelength Observations

#### Experimental Test

##### Simultaneous Multi-Band Spectroscopy:

1. Observe quasar/galaxy simultaneously in UV, optical, IR
2. Measure redshift from different spectral lines
3. Test if  $z \propto \lambda$  relationship holds
4. Compare with standard cosmology prediction ( $z = \text{constant}$ )

## 6.2 Radio vs. Optical Redshift

### Experimental Test

#### 21cm vs. Optical Line Comparison:

- **Radio surveys:** ALFALFA, HIPASS (21cm redshifts)
- **Optical surveys:** SDSS, 2dF ( $H\alpha$ ,  $H\beta$  redshifts)
- **Method:** Compare objects observed in both surveys
- **Prediction:**  $z_{21\text{cm}} \neq z_{\text{optical}}$  (T0) vs.  $z_{21\text{cm}} = z_{\text{optical}}$  (Standard)

## 6.3 Expected Signal Strength

For typical cosmic objects with  $\xi = \frac{4}{3} \times 10^{-4}$ :

$$\frac{\Delta z}{z} = \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{\lambda_{\text{avg}}} \times \xi \approx 10^{-4} \text{ to } 10^{-5} \quad (24)$$

### Key Insight

This wavelength effect is at the limit of current spectroscopic precision but potentially detectable with next-generation instruments like:

- Extremely Large Telescope (ELT)
- James Webb Space Telescope (JWST)
- Square Kilometre Array (SKA)

## 7 Advantages Over Standard Cosmology

### 7.1 Model-Independent Approach

Table 2: T0-Theory vs. Standard Cosmology

Aspect	Standard Cosmology	T0-Theory
Distance Requirement	$z \rightarrow d$ (via Hubble)	Direct spectroscopic test
Wavelength Dependence	$\frac{dz}{d\lambda} = 0$	$\frac{dz}{d\lambda} \propto \xi$
Free Parameters	$\Omega_m, \Omega_\Lambda, H_0, \dots$	Single parameter $\xi$
Exotic Components	Dark Energy (69%)	Only $\xi$ -field
Testability	Indirect (via distance ladder)	Direct (spectroscopy)

## 7.2 Testable Predictions

### T0-Prediction

#### Distinguishing Test:

$$\text{Standard: } z_{\text{blue}} = z_{\text{red}} \quad (25)$$

$$\text{T0: } \frac{z_{\text{blue}}}{z_{\text{red}}} = \frac{\lambda_{\text{blue}}}{\lambda_{\text{red}}} < 1 \quad (26)$$

## 8 Observational Strategy

### 8.1 Target Selection

Focus on objects with:

1. **Strong spectral lines** across wide wavelength range
2. **Well-determined masses** from stellar/galactic dynamics
3. **High signal-to-noise** spectra available

#### Ideal targets:

- Bright quasars with broad spectral coverage
- Nearby galaxies with multiple emission lines
- Binary star systems with precise mass determinations

### 8.2 Data Analysis Protocol

#### Experimental Test

#### Analysis Steps:

1. Measure redshifts from multiple spectral lines
2. Plot  $z$  vs.  $\lambda$  for each object
3. Fit linear relationship:  $z = \alpha \cdot \lambda + \beta$
4. Compare slope  $\alpha$  with T0-prediction:  $\alpha = \frac{\xi x}{E_\xi}$
5. Test against standard cosmology:  $\alpha = 0$

### 8.3 Required Precision

To detect T0-effects with  $\xi = \frac{4}{3} \times 10^{-4}$ :

- **Minimum precision needed:**  $\frac{\Delta z}{z} \approx 10^{-5}$
- **Current best precision:**  $\frac{\Delta z}{z} \approx 10^{-4}$  (barely sufficient)
- **Next-generation instruments:**  $\frac{\Delta z}{z} \approx 10^{-6}$  (clearly detectable)



## 9 Conclusion

### 9.1 Summary of T0-Redshift Mechanism

The T0-theory provides a **distance-independent** mechanism for cosmological redshift through  $\xi$ -field energy loss. The key features are:

1. **Universal constant:**  $\xi = \frac{4}{3} \times 10^{-4}$  determines all redshift effects
2. **Wavelength dependence:**  $z \propto \lambda$  (fundamental prediction)
3. **Mass-based calibration:** Uses measured cosmic object masses
4. **Model-independent tests:** Direct spectroscopic verification

### 9.2 Experimental Accessibility

The theory provides concrete, testable predictions:

#### T0-Prediction

**Key Experimental Signature:**

$$\frac{z_{\text{blue}}}{z_{\text{red}}} = \frac{\lambda_{\text{blue}}}{\lambda_{\text{red}}} \neq 1 \quad (27)$$

This prediction can be tested with:

- Multi-wavelength spectroscopy of the same objects
- Radio vs. optical redshift comparisons
- High-precision measurements of spectral line ratios

### 9.3 Revolutionary Implications

#### Key Insight

If confirmed, wavelength-dependent redshift would revolutionize our understanding of:

- **Cosmic redshift origin:** Energy loss vs. spatial expansion
- **Distance measurements:** Model-independent spectroscopic distances
- **Dark energy:** No longer required to explain cosmic acceleration
- **Fundamental physics:** New field interactions on cosmic scales

The T0-redshift mechanism offers a **testable alternative** to standard cosmology that can be verified through spectroscopic observations, making it experimentally accessible with current or near-future astronomical instruments.

## References

- [1] Pascher, J. (2024). *T0-Theory: Mathematical Equivalence Formulation*. HTL Leonding, Department of Communications Engineering.
- [2] Planck Collaboration (2020). *Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters*. *Astron. Astrophys.* 641, A6.
- [3] SDSS Collaboration (2020). *The Sloan Digital Sky Survey: Technical Summary*. *Astron. J.* 120, 1579.
- [4] ALFALFA Team (2018). *The Arecibo Legacy Fast ALFA Survey*. *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* 232, 21.